Anna Howard

From: Anna Howard <annahoward888@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday 19 May 2020 12:49
To: Anna Howard
Subject: Fwd: Re:

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Mairead Kenny** <M.Kenny@pleanala.ie>
Date: Fri 15 May 2020, 15:10
Subject: RE: Re:
To: Anna Howard <annahoward888@gmail.com>

Yes, but it's in their interest to come back so they may come back quickly. Anyway, it's moving so that's great. Weekend approaching, enjoy.

From: Anna Howard [mailto:annahoward888@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday 15 May 2020 15:05
To: Mairead Kenny <M.Kenny@pleanala.ie>
Subject: Re:

Yes that should be the end of the circulation unless Irish Water come back with anything that needs to be circulated. The only thing is that even though we give a respond by date in the letter to Irish Water they will be allowed extra time due to COVID 19.

On Fri 15 May 2020, 14:18 Mairead Kenny, <M.Kenny@pleanala.ie> wrote:

> On your personal email – hope they sort your IT quickly!
>
> I take it we are talking about the long running saga and missing case.
>
> I am sorry for all the fuss. I did go over the list and obviously missed them under the landowners.
>
> In that case I am happy that we go with the initial approach recommended by Anne Marie for the EIA determination cases and that we leave the referrals only to land owners and **not** invite the prescribed bodies in.

So given that the observation has been / will be sent back to IW for comment, that is the end of th circulations?

Thanks, Mairead

From: Anna Howard [mailto:annahoward888@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday 15 May 2020 13:10
To: Mairead Kenny <M.Kenny@pleanala.ie>
Subject:

Hi Mairead. I'm working from home today. Just to confirm to you that the DAU were issued with a copy of the application referral as they were landowners. They were not invited to comment as a prescribed body. Hope this clarifies things for you. See you soon.

Anna Howard

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Thursday 14 May 2020 14:34
To: Bronwyn Byrne
Subject: FW: moville

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Thursday 14 May 2020 14:26
To: Mairead Kenny <M.Kenny@pleanala.ie>
Subject: moville

Actually, it might not be Loughs Agency who needs to be notified, I think it should possibly be Waterways Ireland. Could you please let me know. I am off tomorrow but I will leave the photocopies of the referral application with Bronwyn.

Regards

Anna Howard

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Thursday 14 May 2020 16:05
To: Bronwyn Byrne
Subject: FW: moville

Hi Bronwyn

I'm just on my way out. We can leave this so until next week.

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Thursday 14 May 2020 12:02
To: Anna Howard <A.Howard@pleanala.ie>
Subject: RE: moville

Hi Anna – I know we 'may' but it was Anne Marie's view that we would not be going down that route in this type of cases.

In this particular case it appears that we have already opted to consult with one prescribed body and not others? Can you confirm please.

If that is the position, then it might be appropriate to treat them all the same and I will follow with a list. However, I will run it by Brendan first.

Let me get back to you. M

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Thursday 14 May 2020 11:56
To: Mairead Kenny <M.Kenny@pleanala.ie>
Subject: moville

Hi Mairead

I have looked up the legislation in relation to section 176 and s.176A(4)(b) states that a planning authority may consult with any body prescribed by the Minister carry out a screening for environmental impact assessment on foot of an application . It also states at176C(6)(b) that 'where the Board requests from the applicant, or any other person that it considers appropriate, further information with regard to the determination review or application referral' so I assume the prescribed bodies can be notified in this case. I consider that the Development Applications Unit, An Tasice and The Heritage Council should be invited to comment and also Lough's Agency and Inland Fisheries. As this is a referral application to the Board and as with Substitute Consent applications the Inspector dealing with the file liaises with the Executive Officer dealing with the case and gives instructions as to which prescribed bodies should be notified I would appreciate it if you would send me a list of which prescribed bodies you consider should be notified.

Regards

Anna Howard
Processing Section
Ext: 7166

Anna Howard

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Thursday 14 May 2020 12:02
To: Anna Howard
Subject: RE: moville

Hi Anna – I know we 'may' but it was Anne Marie's view that we would not be going down that route in this type of cases.

In this particular case it appears that we have already opted to consult with one prescribed body and not others? Can you confirm please.

If that is the position, then it might be appropriate to treat them all the same and I will follow with a list. However, I will run it by Brendan first.

Let me get back to you. M

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Thursday 14 May 2020 11:56
To: Mairead Kenny <M.Kenny@pleanala.ie>
Subject: moville

Hi Mairead

I have looked up the legislation in relation to section 176 and s.176A(4)(b) states that a planning authority may consult with any body prescribed by the Minister carry out a screening for environmental impact assessment on foot of an application . It also states at176C(6)(b) that 'where the Board requests from the applicant, or any other person that it considers appropriate, further information with regard to the determination review or application referral' so I assume the prescribed bodies can be notified in this case. I consider that the Development Applications Unit, An Tasice and The Heritage Council should be invited to comment and also Lough's Agency and Inland Fisheries. As this is a referral application to the Board and as with Substitute Consent applications the Inspector dealing with the file liaises with the Executive Officer dealing with the case and gives instructions as to which prescribed bodies should be notified I would appreciate it if you would send me a list of which prescribed bodies you consider should be notified.

Regards

Anna Howard
Processing Section
Ext: 7166

Anna Howard

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Thursday 14 May 2020 11:56
To: Mairead Kenny
Subject: moville

Hi Mairead

I have looked up the legislation in relation to section 176 and s.176A(4)(b) states that a planning authority may consult with any body prescribed by the Minister carry out a screening for environmental impact assessment on foot of an application . It also states at176C(6)(b) that 'where the Board requests from the applicant, or any other person that it considers appropriate, further information with regard to the determination review or application referral' so I assume the prescribed bodies can be notified in this case. I consider that the Development Applications Unit, An Tasice and The Heritage Council should be invited to comment and also Lough's Agency and Inland Fisheries. As this is a referral application to the Board and as with Substitute Consent applications the Inspector dealing with the file liaises with the Executive Officer dealing with the case and gives instructions as to which prescribed bodies should be notified I would appreciate it if you would send me a list of which prescribed bodies you consider should be notified.

Regards

Anna Howard

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:50
To: Anna Howard
Subject: RE: wind turbine NIS case

Maybe I should drop in the moville file?

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:43
To: Mairead Kenny <M.Kenny@pleanala.ie>
Subject: FW: wind turbine NIS case

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:41
To: Bronwyn Byrne <B.Byrne@pleanala.ie>
Subject: RE: wind turbine NIS case

Hi Mairead

In relation to the Moville one as far as I remember it was circulated to the landowners which included some of the Departments of the Government.

From: Bronwyn Byrne
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:39
To: Anna Howard <A.Howard@pleanala.ie>
Subject: FW: wind turbine NIS case

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:33 PM
To: Bronwyn Byrne <B.Byrne@pleanala.ie>
Subject: wind turbine NIS case

Hi Bronwyn – Anna says you have that file back.

Rachel was on to me about it as Chair was looking at windfarm development cases.

As it happens I need to pop something into office on my 2km walk –tomorrow.

Perhaps I could pick up the FI on this case at the same time ? Chances are it needs to be circulated however? If so we could discuss over phone either if necessary?

Also is there any chance of getting Moville moving – needs to be assessed wrt the prescribed body issue (why it appears that one was circulated and not others, if that is the case, and the submissions need to be circulated).

Thanks, Mairead

Mairead Kenny
Inspectorate
Ext: 7225

Anna Howard

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:49
To: Anna Howard
Subject: RE: wind turbine NIS case

That's the case except for one – I couldn't see why it was circulated to them ..... sent on the earlier email

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:43
To: Mairead Kenny <M.Kenny@pleanala.ie>
Subject: FW: wind turbine NIS case

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:41
To: Bronwyn Byrne <B.Byrne@pleanala.ie>
Subject: RE: wind turbine NIS case

Hi Mairead

In relation to the Moville one as far as I remember it was circulated to the landowners which included some of the Departments of the Government.

From: Bronwyn Byrne
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:39
To: Anna Howard <A.Howard@pleanala.ie>
Subject: FW: wind turbine NIS case

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:33 PM
To: Bronwyn Byrne <B.Byrne@pleanala.ie>
Subject: wind turbine NIS case

Hi Bronwyn – Anna says you have that file back.

Rachel was on to me about it as Chair was looking at windfarm development cases.

As it happens I need to pop something into office on my 2km walk –tomorrow.

Perhaps I could pick up the FI on this case at the same time ? Chances are it needs to be circulated however? If so we could discuss over phone either if necessary?

Also is there any chance of getting Moville moving – needs to be assessed wrt the prescribed body issue (why it appears that one was circulated and not others, if that is the case, and the submissions need to be circulated).

Thanks, Mairead

Mairead Kenny
Inspectorate
Ext: 7225

Anna Howard

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:49
To: Anna Howard
Subject: FW: moville EIA determination - 305749-19

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Friday 3 April 2020 11:21
To: Bronwyn Byrne <B.Byrne@pleanala.ie>
Cc: Anna Howard <A.Howard@pleanala.ie>
Subject: moville EIA determination - 305749-19

Hi Bronwyn – as you know this is back with me.

I'm not sure what can be done to progress it at moment but perhaps we could prioritise when there is some change. In the meantime while it is fresh on my mind I have two comments.

1. There are two submissions (Liam Burns and John Gore) which need to be circulated. If I remember correctly we delayed that action pending receipt of pa docs.
2. I am confused about why we have invited comments under s131 from DAU, in the absence of any requirement to invite prescribed bodies. If this is under A176A(4) please advise – it might be appropriate in that case to extend the list to include other bodies listed under A28. (The other Departments which were notified are lessees or occupiers.)

Anyway – I can do no more with the case at the moment. In the event that we have some office opening / couriers I will get the file back to you.

Happy to discuss obviously.

Hope you are doing ok, Mairead

Anna Howard

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:43
To: Mairead Kenny
Subject: FW: wind turbine NIS case

From: Anna Howard
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:41
To: Bronwyn Byrne <B.Byrne@pleanala.ie>
Subject: RE: wind turbine NIS case

Hi Mairead

In relation to the Moville one as far as I remember it was circulated to the landowners which included some of the Departments of the Government.

From: Bronwyn Byrne
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:39
To: Anna Howard <A.Howard@pleanala.ie>
Subject: FW: wind turbine NIS case

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:33 PM
To: Bronwyn Byrne <B.Byrne@pleanala.ie>
Subject: wind turbine NIS case

Hi Bronwyn – Anna says you have that file back.

Rachel was on to me about it as Chair was looking at windfarm development cases.

As it happens I need to pop something into office on my 2km walk –tomorrow.

Perhaps I could pick up the FI on this case at the same time ? Chances are it needs to be circulated however? If so we could discuss over phone either if necessary?

Also is there any chance of getting Moville moving – needs to be assessed wrt the prescribed body issue (why it appears that one was circulated and not others, if that is the case, and the submissions need to be circulated).

Thanks, Mairead

Mairead Kenny
Inspectorate
Ext: 7225

Anna Howard

From: Bronwyn Byrne
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:39
To: Anna Howard
Subject: FW: wind turbine NIS case

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Tuesday 21 April 2020 12:33 PM
To: Bronwyn Byrne <B.Byrne@pleanala.ie>
Subject: wind turbine NIS case

Hi Bronwyn – Anna says you have that file back.

Rachel was on to me about it as Chair was looking at windfarm development cases.

As it happens I need to pop something into office on my 2km walk –tomorrow.

Perhaps I could pick up the FI on this case at the same time ? Chances are it needs to be circulated however? If so we could discuss over phone either if necessary?

Also is there any chance of getting Moville moving – needs to be assessed wrt the prescribed body issue (why it appears that one was circulated and not others, if that is the case, and the submissions need to be circulated).

Thanks, Mairead

Mairead Kenny
Inspectorate
Ext: 7225

Anna Howard

From: Bronwyn Byrne
Sent: Thursday 16 April 2020 12:05
To: Mairead Kenny
Cc: Anna Howard; Mary Holohan
Subject: RE: moville

Hi Mairead,

We will organize that. We are waiting to see the ministerial order with regard to the freeze period.

Regards,
Bronwyn

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Thursday 16 April 2020 11:52 AM
To: Anna Howard <A.Howard@pleanala.ie>
Cc: Bronwyn Byrne <B.Byrne@pleanala.ie>
Subject: moville

Hi to you both. I know resources are very limited.

If there was one thing I would like done it's the circulation of docs in the above case ... and the issues of the prescribed bodies - as per previous email.

File with me – if / when I can get in I will drop it in for attention when you can.

All the best, Mairead

Mairead Kenny
Inspectorate
Ext: 7225

Anna Howard

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Thursday 16 April 2020 11:52
To: Anna Howard
Cc: Bronwyn Byrne
Subject: moville

Hi to you both. I know resources are very limited.

If there was one thing I would like done it's the circulation of docs in the above case … and the issues of the prescribed bodies - as per previous email.

File with me – if / when I can get in I will drop it in for attention when you can.

All the best, Mairead

Mairead Kenny
Inspectorate
Ext: 7225

Anna Howard

From: Mairead Kenny
Sent: Friday 3 April 2020 11:21
To: Bronwyn Byrne
Cc: Anna Howard
Subject: moville EIA determination - 305749-19

Hi Bronwyn – as you know this is back with me.

I'm not sure what can be done to progress it at moment but perhaps we could prioritise when there is some change. In the meantime while it is fresh on my mind I have two comments.

1. There are two submissions (Liam Burns and John Gore) which need to be circulated. If I remember correctly we delayed that action pending receipt of pa docs.
2. I am confused about why we have invited comments under s131 from DAU, in the absence of any requirement to invite prescribed bodies. If this is under A176A(4) please advise – it might be appropriate in that case to extend the list to include other bodies listed under A28. (The other Departments which were notified are lessees or occupiers.)

Anyway – I can do no more with the case at the moment. In the event that we have some office opening / couriers I will get the file back to you.

Happy to discuss obviously.

Hope you are doing ok, Mairead

Mairead Kenny
Inspectorate
Ext: 7225